

**EXHIBIT 1130 TO  
CISNEROS DECLARATION IN  
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR  
CLASS CERTIFICATION  
[ECF NO. 148-2]  
REDACTED VERSION**

Subject: Fwd: Non-exempt team  
From: "Ron Okamoto" <rokamoto@apple.com>  
To: "Phillip Shoemaker" <pshoemaker@apple.com>  
Date: Tue, 14 Sep 2010 22:28:11 +0000

---

Phillip,

We need to talk about implementing this. Please don't forward.

Thanks.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Philip Schiller <schiller@apple.com>  
Date: September 14, 2010 3:12:34 PM PDT  
To: Ron Okamoto <rokamoto@apple.com>  
Cc: Paige Riveron <priveron@apple.com>  
Subject: Re: Non-exempt team

I am fine with this as long as we remain in the budgeted amounts for both merit increases and bonus.

On Sep 14, 2010, at 3:06 PM, Ron Okamoto wrote:

Phil,

Paige and Pam have checked to see how other groups in Apple are handling their merit raises and bonuses for non-exempt employees. All teams are using the corporate guidelines of [REDACTED] for their teams based on performance and salary range.

An important consideration is that folks who are at the top of their salary range wouldn't get merit raises. They would have to get promoted into a higher pay grade to continue getting pay raises.

Based on this, I propose that we do the same for our non-exempt app reviewers:

- Evaluate each app reviewer for merit raises on an individual basis. Target [REDACTED] for the team like the rest of the company.
- Keep salaries in line with job classification ranges and keeping in mind salary growth over time. (We have a few team members who are bumping the top of the range, if their performance warrants it, we can bonus them instead of a merit raise)
- Award bonuses on an individual basis as well depending upon performance. Bonuses at amounts equitable to the rest of the DR team.

EXHIBIT 1130  
Deponent OKamoto  
Date 2-27-13  
Gina V. Carbone, CSR

We just need to release the \$141K held from our original allocation back to our merit budget to complete this.

Ron.